

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL
CLIMATE ACTION
Directorate A - International and Climate Strategy
CLIMA.A.3 - Monitoring, Reporting, Verification

Guidance Document

The Accreditation and Verification Regulation - Verification report

AVR Key guidance note no. II.6, Version of 12 July 2012

This document is part of a series of documents and templates provided by the Commission services for supporting the interpretation of Commission Regulation (EU) No. 600/2012 of 21 June 2012 on the verification of greenhouse gas emission reports and tonne-kilometre reports and the accreditation of verifiers pursuant to Directive 2003/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council.

The guidance represents the views of the Commission services at the time of publication. It is not legally binding.

This guidance document takes into account the discussions within meetings of the informal Technical Working Group on the Accreditation and Verification Regulation under the WGIII of the Climate Change Committee (CCC), as well as written comments received from stakeholders and experts from Member States. This guidance document was unanimously endorsed by the representatives of the Member States at the meeting of the Climate Change Committee on 11 July 2012.

All guidance documents and templates can be downloaded from the documentation section of the Commission's website at the following address: http://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/ets/monitoring/index_en.htm.

Background

This key guidance note is part of a suite of guidance documents developed by the Commission services to explain the requirements of the EU ETS Regulation on Accreditation and Verification no. 600/2012 (AVR). The suite of guidance documents consists of:

- an explanatory guidance on the articles of the AVR (EGD I), including a user manual providing an overview of the guidance documents and their interrelation with the relevant legislation;
- key guidance notes (KGD II) on specific verification and accreditation issues;
- a specific guidance (GD III) on the verification of aircraft operator's reports;
- templates for the verification report and information exchange requirements;
- exemplars consisting of filled-in templates, checklists or specific examples in the explanatory guidance or key guidance notes;
- frequently asked questions.

This key guidance note explains the verification report requirements in the AVR. This note represents the views of the Commission services at the time of publication. It is not legally binding.

Objective and scope of this note

The verifier shall issue a verification report to the operator on each report¹ that has been subject to the verification. The operator has to submit the report together with its corresponding verification report to the Competent Authority (CA). Article 27 of the AVR contains requirements on the content of the verification report and the different types of verification opinion statements that can be issued. Based on these requirements templates have been developed by the Commission to support a harmonised approach to verification reports. Templates have been developed for:

- the verification report for the verification of installation operator's reports;
- the verification report for the verification of aircraft operator's reports.

The objective of this key guidance note is to explain the different sections of the templates and to clarify how each section should be completed by the verifier. Explanation is also given on the different types of verification opinion statements.

This note applies to the verification of both operator's and aircraft operator's reports. Please note the following:

- Wherever this note subsequently uses the term "report" it means both the operator's emission reports and the aircraft operator's emission reports or tonne-kilometre reports.
- Wherever this note subsequently uses the term "operator" this also means that the relevant phrase is applicable to aircraft operators unless it is specifically mentioned otherwise.



Justifications for using the verification report template

A standardised and consistent way of reporting information in the verification report has major advantages:

All verifiers are encouraged to report on their verifications in a consistent and

_

Art. 27

¹ Emissions or tonne-kilometres

harmonised way and give their opinion statement in a uniform manner;

- It provides a transparent and cost efficient way of reporting, focusing on those elements that are important to support the opinion statement;
- It facilitates comparability between verification reports across installations, companies and Member States (MS), etc.;
- It enhances the user's confidence² in the extent and depth of verification activities and thus in the accuracy of the reported emission data or tonne-kilometre data;
- It provides all the relevant details to inform the CA of findings during the verification: e.g. misstatements, non-conformities and non-compliance issues with the Monitoring and Reporting Regulation (MRR).

The verification report template developed by the Commission services captures all the requirements of Article 27 of the AVR, and the different template sections provide a cost efficient and transparent way of supporting the verification opinion statement.

The front page of the template outlines the objectives of each section of the verification report and gives the verifier instructions on how to complete it.

Explaining the contents of the verification report template and the relationship between its sections

All the sections and the Annexes in the template are interrelated and should not be seen as separate from each other. Its contents form the necessary information on the basis of work and support for the actual opinion statement in the verification report. The Annexes are thus an intrinsic part of the verification opinion statement

Operator details

The objectives of this section are:

- to provide a practical way of reporting the information required under Article 27(3) (a) and (e) of the AVR;
- to indicate the operator and Installation whose report the verifier has been verifying³;
- to clarify the documents that serve as reference documents for the verification (i.e. the versions of the monitoring plan (MP) and in addition for an installation, the permit);
- to provide the user of the verification report with information on the complexity of the installation or aircraft operator and thus the complexity of the verification process.

Information in template	Objective and clarification
Name of operator	Aimed at pinpointing the operator concerned and identifying
Name of Installation	to which installation the verification report relates. An
Address of Installation	operator can have more than one installation, hence the
Unique ID	requirement to list both the name of the operator and the
	name of the Installation.
GHG permit number	This section refers to the unique number of the GHG permit
	(where relevant). If the permit has been changed during the
	reporting period, this should be indicated in this section.
Date(s) of relevant approved MP	The approved MP is the reference point against which the

² The user could be the Competent Authority, the National Accreditation Body (NAB), (where relevant) the National Certification Authority (NCA) or any party that views the verification report.

³ This is particularly important where the Verification Report is **not** embedded in the operator's report

Information in template	Objective and clarification
and period of validity for each	verifier checks the emission report. It is therefore important to
plan	list the dates of approval from the CA for the MP or updates of
	the MP that were in use during the reporting period.
Approving CA	The approving CA section is needed to clarify which CA has
	approved the MP and which CA is the responsible party to
	which the operator should go if the verifier has identified
	significant changes to the MP that require the CA's approval.
Category of the Installation	These data are needed to identify the operator's size and
Low emitter status	activities; and to give the user of the verification report a first
Annex I activity	indication of the verification effort involved. These data could
	be used to cross check with other data in the report or
	between the operator's report and the verification report. For
	instance, the data on the category of installation and low
	emitter status is information needed to cross check whether
	the correct materiality level given in Annex II has been applied
	during the verification process.

Emission details

The objectives of this section are:

- to provide a practical way of reporting the information required under Article 27(3) (d),
 (f) and (g) of the AVR;
- to have the verifier confirm that the data in the report are indeed the data that were verified. This is especially important if the verification report is not embedded within the operator's report: although a number of Member States (MS) have the report and the verification report integrated in one IT system and combined into one pdf-document when printed, this is not the case in other MS;
- to draw the user's attention to significant changes that occurred during the reporting period.

Information in template	Objective and clarification
Reporting year	Aimed at making a clear reference to the report that is being
Reference document	verified, and the version (where multiple drafts were
Date of emission report	produced).
Process emissions	Required by Article 27(3) (f) of the AVR
Combustion emissions	
Total emissions	
Combustion source streams	This section must be completed if the report and the
Process source streams	verification report are two separate documents. These
Methodology used	sections require the verifier to pinpoint the key aspects of the
Emission factors used	monitoring methodology and to expressly state that the data
	in the report was indeed the data that have been verified by
	the verifier. By completing the boxes the verifier confirms the
	type of source streams, the monitoring methodology and the
	emission factor(s) used. The sections concerned only need to
	contain the key aspects and do not require extensive
	reporting.
	In the box "emission factor" the verifier only needs to indicate
	whether factors were default factor(s) or activity-specific
	factors or both (in which case identifying which source

Information in template	Objective and clarification
	streams the type of factor applied to). These sections give the
	user of the verification report an indication of the verification
	effort involved and enables the CA to cross check the data in
	the verification report with the data in the emission report the
	MP and the permit (if applicable).
Changes to the operator/	This section is meant to draw the user's attention to particular
Installation during the reporting	changes that have occurred during the reporting period and
year	that may have a significant effect on the emission data and the
	trend from year to year. This might for example involve:
	significant changes as indicated in Article 15 of the MRR;
	changes in the capacity or production levels.

Site visit details

The objectives of this section are:

- to provide a practical way of reporting the information required under Article 27(3) (k) and (l) of the AVR;
- to give the user of the verification report an indication of the number of site visits carried out for each operator's report verified; and the number of days spent on-site. This will help the user to determine whether sufficient time was spent on site, in particular when more than one location is involved. This information can be cross checked with other information in the emission report and in the information exchange between CAs and National Accreditation Bodies (NABs). However please note that it is the responsibility of the NAB to assess whether sufficient time was allocated to the verification. For the CA the number of days spent at the site merely provides a signal which could be reported back to the NAB in the information exchange between the CA and NAB.

Art. 72 AVR

Information in template	Objective and clarification
Operator/ Installation visited	Data required under Article 27(3) (k) of the AVR and needed to
during verification	provide information on the number of site visits carried out.
Dates of site visit	
Number of days spend on-site	
Name of EU ETS auditor(s)	This includes information on EU ETS lead auditor(s) and/or EU
undertaking site visit(s)	ETS auditor(s) and technical experts that did the actual site
	work.
Justification for not undertaking a	The justification in this section should be written in such a way
site visit	that the user of the verification report can discern from the
	description that the conditions for waiving site visits have
	been met. For more information, please see the conditions in
	the key guidance note on site visits (KGD 5).
Date of written approval from CA	This section does not need to be completed if the waive of a
for waive of site visit	site visit concerns an installation that emits less than 25
	ktonnes of CO ₂ per year (as outlined in Article 47(2) of the
	MRR). Such installations do not require approval from the CA.

Compliance with the ETS Rules

This section is related to the "scope of verification". The objectives of this section are:

• to provide a practical way of reporting the information required under Article 27(3) (c)

Art. 7 AVR

- and (m) and 7(5) of the AVR;
- to require the verifier to expressly and positively state with reasonable assurance that no specified non-compliance items have been identified and that the verifier is sufficiently confident that the MP, the permit and both regulations have been met by the operator;
- to explicitly confirm the scope of verification and the key elements checked during the verification to ensure that the approved MP has been implemented and complied with, the data are accurate, no issues have been identified that are not in line with the MRR and no opportunities for improvement have been identified;
- to stimulate a harmonised, consistent, proactive and transparent reporting of the verification approach and the verifier's findings.

Information in template	Objective and clarification
MP met Permit conditions met	This section relates to Article 7(4) (b) of the AVR and requires the verifier to positively state that the MP and the permit conditions have been met; and that no non-conformities have been identified. Where these MP requirements and conditions are not met, Annex IB shall list the non-conformities found.
	A non-conformity does not necessarily entail a non-compliance with the MRR. If however the non-conformity is also a non-compliance issue with the MRR, that issue must be reported under both sections (MP met and EU Regulation on M&R met).
EU regulation on M&R met	This section relates to Article 7(5) of the AVR and Article 27(3) (m) of the AVR. Any identified non-compliance with the MRR has to be reported in the verification report and will be detailed in Annex I.
EU regulation on A&V met	This section requires the verifier to expressly and positively state that the required activities in the process analysis have been carried out. This gives the user of the verification report confidence in the accuracy of the verified emission data and that the process followed by the verifier meets the AVR requirements.
	Requiring the verifier to complete the boxes and confirm whether the key activities in Article 14 to 19 of the AVR have been carried out, enables the user of the Verification Report to make cross checks and compare reports. The sections are meant to draw the user's attention to specific issues that occurred during the verification of the data or provide justification why a specific verification activity was not carried out. For instance:
	 If the ETS Support Facility was used to generate the aircraft operator's report, the AVR allows the verifier to waive certain checks. This template requires the verifier to clarify the reasons for not undertaking these checks and to make the user aware of them. If the verifier could not trace the data back to the source because of data gaps (e.g. fuel invoices being lost or measuring equipment failures), this needs to be reported

Information in template	Objective and clarification
	in the verification report.
	Through this section the verifier is confirming that all basic
	elements have been carried out and that nothing has been
	identified that is not in line with the requirements and
	verification criteria.
CA's guidance on M&R met	The verifier is only required to complete this section if the CA
	of the relevant MS has issued additional verification guidance
	for that MS. The verifier should confirm that the guidance is
	met and ensure that the title of the guidance is included in
	Annex II of the verification report as part of the list specifying
	the 'Rules of the EU ETS'
Previous year non-conformities	Required by Article 29(1) of the AVR.
not corrected	
Changes identified and not	This section should capture summarised information:
reported to the CA	any changes to the MP or permit that have been notified
	as approved by the CA but have not been included within a
	re-issued permit and approved MP at the time of
	completion of the verification (this is still one of the
	verification criteria that must be taken into account during
	the verification);
	any changes to capacity, activity levels and/ or operation
	of the installation that could impact the allocation of
	allowances and which are not reported to the CA by 31
	December; any changes identified by the verifier but not reported to
	 any changes identified by the verifier but not reported to the CA before the relevant reporting year ended;
	 any significant changes to the MP that require the CA's
	approval but for which that approval has not been
	obtained before completion of the verification (Article 7(6)
	of the AVR).
	The details must be listed in Annex 3.

Compliance with the Principles

The objectives of this section are:

- to provide a practical way of reporting the information required under Article 27(3) (m) and 7(5) of the AVR;
- to require the verifier to state that no non-compliance with each of the MRR principles has been identified;
- to require the verifier to explicitly confirm its confidence in the compliance with the principles in the MRR in order to be able to state with reasonable assurance that the emission data are accurate and have been monitored in line with the MRR.

Please note that the verifier is not required to perform a full check against the MRR and to confirm absolute compliance with the MRR principles. It is also not required to assess each and every element of the approved MP against the MRR principles. The objective of this section is for the verifier to confirm that it has not identified non-compliance with these principles as required by Article 7(5) of the AVR. The boxes are related to other sections in the verification report. The section on *continuous improvement* is for example linked to

Art. 7 (5) AVR Annex I-D (the section on 'recommendations for improvement'). If the verifier has identified opportunities for improvement, it shall complete the box by referring the 'user' to Annex I-D.

Opinion

The verification opinion statement is the summary of the whole verification report, and states the verifier's overall opinion on the data reported. All the sections in the report, including the Annexes, are supportive of the opinion statement and should not be seen as separate from each other. The boxes reflect the different possible verification opinion statements laid down in Article 27(1) of the AVR; only one of the three choices can be included in the final report.

The objectives of this section are:

- to provide a practical way of reporting the information required under Article 27(3) (i) of the AVR;
- to create a uniform and standardised way of reporting the verification opinion; using language that is consistent with existing practices globally for opinions on non-financial and financial data (this facilitates the use of the verification opinions in the Emissions Trading Marketplace).

The template distinguishes between three different types of verification opinion statements in line with Article 27(1) of the AVR. The language used for the opinion itself should not be amended; only comments added to the 'verified with comments' type of opinion or justifications for the 'not verified' type of opinion.

Type of verification opinion in template	AVR requirement and meaning	Consequences of the verification opinion
Verified as satisfactory Verified as satisfactory but with comments	The report is free from material misstatement. This means that the operator's report: contains no misstatements and there are no outstanding nonconformities with the MP/permit or non-compliance with the MRR; OR contains outstanding nonmaterial misstatements, nonconformities that have no material impact on the reported data or recommendations for improvement. All outstanding non-material misstatements, non-conformities, non-compliance with the MRR and recommendations for improvement	 If the operator's report does not contain any non-material misstatements, non-conformities, non-compliances with the MRR or recommendations for improvement, there are no consequences If there are outstanding non-material misstatements, the CA shall assess the misstatements and may make a conservative estimate of the emissions or tonne-kilometres where appropriate. The CA shall inform the operator whether and which corrections are required to the report. The operator has to make that information available to the verifier (Article 70(2) of the MRR)

Art. 27(1) (a) AVR

⁴ These may be technical non-conformities that do not actually affect the data, for example the contact details on the MP/Permit have not been updated after a change of personnel or a change in document system that does not affect the data.

Type of verification opinion in template	AVR requirement and meaning	Consequences of the verification opinion	
	have to be reported in Annex I. The verified with comments section in the template is meant to draw the 'users' attention to things that verifiers consider may be of interest to the 'user', but which are not sufficient to warrant a 'not verified' opinion. This could be a selection of non-material misstatements, non-conformities or non-compliance issues taken from Annex I to highlight them for the user (e.g. drawing the user's attention that the reported data have been verified as satisfactory with reasonable assurance and the data are free from material misstatements but that the procedures for calibration have not been implemented correctly).	 The operator has to correct any outstanding non-conformities and submit an improvement report (Article 69(4) of the MRR). The operator has to implement any recommendations of improvements in accordance with Article 69(4) of the MRR and submit an improvement report. 	Section 3.3 EGD (I)
Not verified (as satisfactory)	One of the following situations is applicable: the report is not free from material misstatement; the scope of verification is too limited to state with reasonable assurance that the report is free from material misstatement; non-conformities (individual or combined) do not provide sufficient clarity and prevent the verifier from stating with reasonable assurance that the report is free from material misstatement.	 The CA shall make a conservative estimation of the emission data according to Article 70(1) of the MRR and enter the corrected data in the registry according to Article 32(6) of the Registry Regulation. The verifier shall not approve or insert the emission figure in the Registry 	Art. 27(1) (b) to (d) AVR

A limitation of scope of verification may arise from the following situations:

Art. 28 AVR

- data are missing that prevents a verifier from obtaining the evidence required to reduce the verification risk to the level needed to obtain reasonable level of assurance, e.g. some or all primary source data are missing and data are only available at an aggregated level:
- the MP is not approved by the CA thus not providing a proper reference document for the verifier to check the report against;
- the MP does not provide sufficient scope or clarity to conclude on the verification, e.g. parts of the monitoring methodology are not properly described in the monitoring plan;
- the operator has failed to make sufficient information available to enable the verifier to carry out the verification: e.g. the operator has not provided the verifier with:

- the latest version of the MP;
- primary source data needed to check the accuracy of the reported data such as requested fuel invoices, or results of online measurements;
- information on measurement equipment and the quality assurance thereof (manufacturer's information, calibration records, maintenance information).

Non-conformities can only lead to a 'not-verified' report if:

- the non-conformity has a material effect on the reported emissions or tonne-kilometres e.g. an emissions source or source stream is omitted; it results in an incorrect measurement or emissions factor, etc.; and the impact of which is greater than the applicable materiality level;
- non-conformities (individual or combined) do not provide sufficient clarity and so prevent the verifier from stating with reasonable assurance that the report is free from material misstatements: e.g. the operator does not calibrate the measurement equipment or has not implemented the procedures listed in the approved MP thereby causing the verifier to be uncertain whether the reported data are free from material misstatement.

Verification team and verifier's detail

The objectives of the section "verification team and verifier's detail" are:

- to provide a practical way of reporting the information required under Article 27(3) (q) and (r) of the AVR;
- to provide information on the verifier to the user of the verification report: confirming amongst other things that the verifier is accredited.

Information in template	Objective and clarification
Name of verifier	Needed to clarify that the verifier is accredited and by which
Contact address	NAB or, if certified according to the AVR, by which NCA. This
Is verifier accredited or certified?	information enables the CA to assess whether the verifier is
Name of National Accreditation	accredited/certified and so deemed competent. Article 70 of
Body (NAB) or National	the MRR requires the CA to determine the emission figure if
Certification Authority (NCA)	the operator's report has not been verified in line with the
Accreditation number/	AVR.
certification number	

Annex I: Misstatements, non-conformities, non-compliance and recommended improvements

Annex I provides a practical way of reporting the information required under Article 27(3) (j), (m), (n) and (p), Article 27(4) and Article 29(1) of the AVR. The information shall provide detail in relation to the answers provided in the boxes of the statement page.

Annex II: Further information of relevance to the opinion

Annex II provides a practical way of reporting the information required under Article 27(3) (b), (c), (e) and (h) of the AVR. The Annex outlines the basis of the verification opinion statement and should be read in conjunction with that statement.

This section describes in standard language the scope and the objectives of the verification and emphasises the different responsibilities of the verifier, the CA and the operator. It

enables the user of the verification report to understand the extent to which the verifier has checked the data in the report and what criteria it has used as a reference point when assessing the data and conducting the verification. The description of the different responsibilities of each of the parties indicates what role the verifier took during the verification process and what activities were outside the responsibility of the verifier.

The standard declarations under "work performed and basis of the opinion" clarify that the verification activities were carried out with the aim of obtaining a reasonable level of assurance and that the verification opinion statement is therefore based on that level of assurance.

The language in this Annex should not be altered except that the verifier has to complete the section under *materiality level* and check that the details under *reference documents cited* are correct for the type of verifier and verification.

Information in template	Objective and clarification
Materiality level	This section requires the verifier to state the applicable materiality level used in the verification. The verifier may also indicate any detail that it considers helpful to the user of the verification report in understanding the depth and scope of the work performed in order to come to the opinion given in the verification report.
	For example, if the verifier had to check the entire population of data (100%) because of misstatements and/or non-conformities found during the verification process, this may be helpful for the user to understand. Similarly if they only sampled a percentage of the data population, that may be informative.
Reference documents	Reference documents are of two types: a) those that the verifier has to use to manage the verification process; and b) those that form the verification criteria against which the operator's monitoring and reporting processes are checked. For (a) in addition to the AVR and the AV guidance, there are applicable ISO standards: EN ISO 14065, ISO 14064-3 (where relevant), EA 6/03 and other relevant guidance, e.g. national guidance.
	For (b) in addition to the MRR and EU MR guidance, there are the MS national enabling legislation and any associated MS guidance etc.
	The verifier must ensure that all the correct reference documents are cited in their verification report.
	If the verifier is a financial accounting/audit body subject to ISAE 3000 and ISAE 3410 ⁵ , it has to ensure these documents

⁵ The international accountancy standards applicable to financial accounting/audit companies. Both standards require financial accounting bodies to state in assurance reports (e.g. verification reports) that the

_

Information in template	Objective and clarification
	have also been cited. Please note that both these standards
	are not covered by accreditation, and that the NAB will not
	assess the verifier's compliance with ISAE 3410 and 3000
	requirements.

Annex III Summary of conditions, changes, clarification and variations

Annex III provides a practical way of reporting the information required under Article 7(6) and 27(3) (o) of the AVR. The Annex relates to the box *Changes identified and not reported to the CA under compliance with EU ETS rules* and should list the detail of changes mentioned that box.

Aviation specific issues

For aviation similar comments to those above can be made except for the fact that the specific template for verification of aircraft operator's reports contains at some points additional information, e.g. CRCO number and other checks under 'compliance with the rules'. On other points less information is required e.g. data on GHG permits are not included in the template for aircraft operators since there is no GHG permit for aircraft operators.

A separate verification report template has to be completed for the verification of each of the aircraft operator's emission reports and tonne-kilometre reports. For tonne-kilometre verification reports some information does not have to be completed such as prior year non-conformities and required checks on uncertainty.

MS templates

MS can ask for additional information⁶ from the verifier in relation to the verification. Where such information is not needed to understand the verification opinion, it may be submitted to the CA, via the operator, separately from the verification report and no later than 15 May. Where such information is needed to understand the verification opinion it must be included in the verification report.

Art. 27(5) AVR

engagement was conducted and reported in accordance with these accountancy standards. Hence, the required citation of both standards in Annex II.

⁶ Over and above that required by Article 27(3) of the AVR.